

グーグルのプライバシー訴訟 Google Street View Lawsuit

渡辺則芳 Watanabe Noriyoshi
国士舘大学 University of Kokushikan

論題項目

- 1、 グーグルストリートビューについてのこれまでの論議
- 2、 米国でのプライバシー訴訟経緯
- 3、 上記訴訟内容
- 4、 プライバシー保護について検討
- 5、 総括と今後

資料

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

AARON C. BORING; CHRISTINE)	
BORING,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
vs.)	Civil Action No. 08-694
)	Magistrate Judge Amy Reynolds Hay
GOOGLE, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

HAY, Magistrate Judge

In April 2008, Pennsylvania residents, Aaron and Christine Boring (“the Plaintiffs” or “the Borings”), filed a five count Complaint against Google, Inc. (“the Defendant” or “Google”), a Delaware corporation, in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania. The Borings alleged entitlement to compensatory and punitive damages based on four tort-based causes of action: (1) Count I - invasion of privacy; (2) Count II - trespass; (3) Count IV - negligence; and (5) Count V - conversion. In Count III, the Plaintiffs asserted a claim for temporary and permanent injunctive relief. Invoking this Court’s diversity jurisdiction, the Defendant effected timely removal. The Borings then filed an Amended Complaint (Doc.18), substituting an unjust enrichment claim for the conversion claim at Count V. The Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint (Doc. 22) pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) is pending. Because the Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim under any count, the Amended Complaint will be dismissed .